

Bobbi Fry

From: Bob Downer
Sent: Thursday, January 20, 2005 10:35 AM
To: Colloton, John W
Subject: RE: from John W. Colloton

Dear John:

I was not trying to carefully select my words in my response so as to convey a misleading impression. As I recall, I didn't have your letter in front of me when I prepared my response. In any event, I have not communicated to others that you are the "prime reason that the problem between the U. of I. and Wellmark has not been resolved."

As I recall I ended my letter with the hope that a process might be agreed upon which would lead to a new contract between these parties and put these problems in the past. I still have that hope, and would certainly be interested in your thoughts as to how this might be accomplished.

Bob

From: Colloton, John W [mailto:john-colloton@uiowa.edu]
Sent: Thursday, January 20, 2005 10:16 AM
To: Bob Downer
Subject: from John W. Colloton

January 20, 2005

Dear Bob:

Thank you for your response to my letter of January 6th. For the record, I want to convey one point of response. My letter said that I had been informed that you and others are telling people that I am the "prime reason that the problem between the U. of I. and Wellmark has not been resolved." Your response indicates that you had not made the statement that I am the "prime reason for the problem between Wellmark and the U. of I." As you know, there is a significant difference between the two assertions. While you have denied the latter, which has never been an issue, I am left wondering whether your denial extends to the former which is the real objection that I lodged.

Warm regards,

John W. Colloton